

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/448,124

Applicant(s)

SINDHU ET AL.

Examiner

Kwang B. Yao

Art Unit

2667

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Kwang B. Yao.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Irving.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 October 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

3-6, 8-24, 27, 28, 30-33, 35-39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44

Prior art documents discussed:


None

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A telephone call was made to Richard Irving on 10/5/04 to request an oral election to the restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)